

BACKGROUND

- It is generally assumed that majority of inflected forms are processed as discrete morphemic constituents (Levelt et al., 1999; Pinker, 1999).
- Recent studies show that also whole-word frequency (Baayen et al., 1997), inflectional entropy (Milin et al., 2009) and morphological family size (De Jong et al., 2002) co-determine lexical processing costs.

CURRENT STUDY

- The rich inflectional morphology of Estonian offers the possibility to investigate a further predictor, **inflectional paradigm size**, the number of actually attested forms of a given paradigm.

Table 1: Inflectional paradigm of *jalg* ‘foot, leg’ with 46 paradigm members. The 36 paradigm members present in the corpus are marked in bold. Case

Case	Singular	Plural	Translation
Nominative	jalg	jalad	foot (subject)
Genitive	jala	jalgade, jalge	of a foot
Partitive	jalga	jalgasid, jalgu	foot (object)
Illative-1	jalga	-	into a foot
Illative-2	jalasse	jalgadesse, jalusse, jalgesse	into a foot
Inessive	jalas	jalgades, jalus, jalges	in a foot
Elative	jalast	jalgatest, jalust, jalgest	from a foot
Allative	jalale	jalgadele, jalule, jalgele	onto a foot
Adessive	jalal	jalgadel, jalul, jalgel	on a foot
Ablative	jalalt	jalgadelt, jalult, jalgelt	from a foot
Translative	jalaks	jalgadeks, jaluks, jalgeks	turn into a foot
Terminative	jalani	jalgadeni, jalgeni	up to a foot
Essive	jalana	jaladena	as a foot
Abessive	jalata	jalgadeta	without a foot
Comitative	jalaga	jalgadega	with a foot

RESEARCH QUESTION

- Do inflected form’s own **frequency** and actual **inflectional paradigm size** affect the production of Estonian case-inflected nouns?

METHODOLOGY

- Experiment 1:** 26 native speakers of Estonian (18 female; 21-67 years) reading aloud 200 Estonian case-inflected nouns.
- Experiment 2:** 33 native speakers of Estonian (20 female; 22-69 years) reading aloud 2,800 Estonian case-inflected nouns.

ANALYSIS

- Generalized additive and quantile regression (Wood, 2006; Fasiolo et al., 2016).
- Response variable:** (1) production latency; (2) acoustic duration
- Predictors:** (1) whole-word frequency, e.g. **works**
(2) inflectional paradigm size, e.g. **work, works; worked**
(3) morphological family size, e.g. **worker, workforce, handwork**

RESULTS




Figure 1: Partial effects for morphological family size and whole-word frequency in Experiment 1.




Figure 2: Partial effects for whole-word frequency, inflectional paradigm size, morphological family size in acoustic duration of Experiment 2.




Figure 3: Partial effects for whole-word frequency, inflectional paradigm size morphological family size in the second, fourth, sixth and eighth decile of production latency in Experiment 2.

RESULTS

- Experiment 1:** production latencies decreased linearly with increasing morphological family size ($\hat{\beta} = -0.0041, t(4721) = -5.1651, p < 0.0001$); acoustic durations with increasing whole-word frequency ($\hat{\beta} = -0.0114, z(4719) = -4.2573, p < 0.0001$; Fig. 1).
- Experiment 2:** production latencies decreased with increasing whole-word frequency ($\hat{\beta} = -0.0114, z(12026) = -6.6509, p < 0.0001$, morphological family size ($\hat{\beta} = -0.0042, z(12026) = -3.5101, p = 0.0004$), and inflectional paradigm size ($\chi^2 = 18.4492, edf = 3.0310, p = 0.0008$); the same effect emerged in acoustic durations (Fig. 2).
- Quantile regression:** whole-word frequency peaks at the .4 decile; inflectional paradigm size and morphological family size peaks later on at the .8 decile and .6 decile (Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

- The whole-word frequency effect for inflected forms is present for all inflected nouns words, not only irregular ones; the effect is the strongest earlier in time.
- A novel paradigmatic measure, inflectional paradigm size, facilitates word production; the effect is the strongest later in time.
- The effect of morphological family size was present in the production of case-inflected nouns; the strongest later in time.

CONCLUSIONS

- Whole-word frequency effect for Estonian case-inflected nouns is in line with frequency effects for sequences of words in English (*into the house*, see e.g., Arnon & Snider 2010).
- The emergence of inflectional paradigm size fits well with the well-established effect of morphological family size.
- The amount of information about word use in the mental lexicon seems to be substantially larger than previously assumed.

REFERENCES

Arnon, I. & N. Snider (2010). Syntactic probabilities affect pronunciation variation in spontaneous speech. *JML* 62, 67–82.
 Baayen, R. H., T. Dijkstra & R. Schreuder (1997). Singulars and plurals in Dutch: Evidence for a parallel dual route model. *JML* 36, 94–117.
 De Jong, N. H., L. B. Feldman, R. Schreuder, M. Pastizzo & R. H. Baayen (2002). The processing and representation of Dutch and English compounds. *Brain and Language* 81, 555–567.
 Fasiolo, M., G. Y., R. Nedellec & S. N. Wood (2016). *Fast calibrated additive quantile regression*. R package version 1.0.
 Levelt, W. J. M., A. Roelofs & A. S. Meyer (1999). A theory of lexical access in speech production. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 22, 1–38.
 Milin, P., V. Kuperman, A. Kostić & R. Baayen (2009). Paradigms bit by bit. In J. P. Blevins & J. Blevins (eds.), *Analogy in grammar: form and acquisition*, Oxford, pp. 214–252.
 Pinker, S. (1999). *Words and Rules: The Ingredients of Language*.
 Wood, S. N. (2006). *Generalized Additive Models*. New York: Chapman & Hall/CRC.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by the Estonian national scholarship program Kristijan Jaak, and by an Alexander von Humboldt research award to the first and second author, respectively.